

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,132	12/05/2003	Youichi Okubo	CONDA.00015	8997
22858	7590 02/10/2005		EXAM	INER
CARSTENS YEE & CAHOON, LLP P O BOX 802334			MILLER, T	AKISHA S
DALLAS, TX 75380			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			2855	

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Alc /
r.	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/729,132	OKUBO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Takisha Miller	2855
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the dearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of this period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
,—	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all		
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		*
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐		
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority docur 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the	ments have been received. ments have been received in A	Application No
application from the International Bu		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.
Attachment(s)	_	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S 	-/	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/15/04 & 1/12/04</u> .	6) Other:	<u></u> .

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1, 2, 5-9 and 12-14 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,2,4-7 and 9-11 of copending Application No. 10/729,067. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each of the claimed elements in the claimed combinations are found in the indicated copending application.

Art Unit: 2855

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 5-10 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Achterholt (5,040,561).
 - a. With respect to claims 1,2,8 and 12, Achterholt teaches a transmitter comprising a pressure sensor that has a diaphragm (40) exposed to gas, wherein the transmitter transmits pressure data detected by the pressure sensor, the transmitter comprising a power supply circuit (26) for supplying electricity to the transmitter (Col. 3, lines 5-8), a metallic material (41) covering the diaphragm (40)(Col.5, lines 1-5) and a connecting member (27/28), wherein the connecting member connects the power supply circuit (26) with the metallic material (41) (Fig.1)
 - b. With respect to claims 3 and 10, Achterholt teaches a transmitter wherein the connecting member (27/28) is a lead wire (Fig. 1).
 - c. With respect to claims 5 and 8, Achterholt teaches the transmitter is provided in a tire of a vehicle, and wirelessly transmits pressure data representing a condition of the tire (Col. 4, lines 64-66).

Application/Control Number: 10/729,132 Page 4

Art Unit: 2855

d. With respect to claims 6 and 12, Achterholt teaches a casing (20) that has an opening and accommodates the pressure sensor, a lid (30/31/32/35/37) for closing the opening of the casing (20)(Col.8, lines 53-62) and a conductor (42) provided on the lid (Fig.1), wherein when the opening of the casing is closed with the lid, the metallic material (41) is connected to the power supply circuit (26) through the conductor (42)(Col. 8, lines 43-52)(Fig.1).

- e. With respect to claims 7 and 8, Achterholt teaches a receiver (Col. 3, lines 39-41), wherein the receiver receives data transmitted by the transmitter with a reception antenna (25) and processes the received data.
- f. With respect to claim 9, Achterholt teaches the power supply circuit (26) comprises a battery (Col. 3, lines 5-8).
- g. With respect to claim 14, Achterholt teaches the metallic material (41) is connected to either a power supply potential or a ground potential of the battery (Fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Achterholt (5,040,561) in view of Katou et al. (US2004/0085078)(hereinafter Katou).

 Achterholt teaches a diaphragm (40) but lacks teaching the diaphragm formed a ceramic

Application/Control Number: 10/729,132

Art Unit: 2855

Page 5

base. Katou teaches a diaphragm (42) formed on a ceramic base (40)(Fig.4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Achterholt to include a ceramic base as taught by Katou in order to effectively detect pressure using an art recognized equivalent diaphragm structure which is readily available in the art.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Takisha Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-2184. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (7:00 am - 3:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY: PATENTER 2800